# **EXHIBIT F**

Case 3:07-cv-02980-TEH

Document 9-7

Filed 02/25/2008

Page 2 of 11

of 11

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **RULES VIOLATION REPORT**

HOLES VIOLATION REPORT							
CDC NUMBER E-37508	Hollis		RELEASE/BOARD DATE	INST. SVSP	HOUSING NO.	LOG NO. 006-03-0012	
VIOLATED RULE NO(S)		SPECIFIC ACTS Battery on A	LOCAT	TONFac 'C'	DATE	TIME	
3005(c)		Peace Officer	08 SI	nower	04-18-05	1115hrs	

CHRCUMSTANCESON 03-17-06, the Rules Violation Report (CDC 115) log #F005-04-0049, dated 04-18-05, was ordered reissued, and reheard by Chief Deputy Warden A. Hedgpeth pursuant to memorandum dated 03-17-06. On 04-18-05, at approximately 1520 hours, while performing my duties as C8 Ad-Seg Officer, performing escorts when I entered building 8 C-Pod Inmate Hollis (E-37508, C3-226L)was inside C-Pod shower banging on the shower door and pressing on the alarm button. I told Inmate Hollis to calm down and stop banging on the shower door and pressing on the alarm button, and once we get our Ad-Seg inmate secured in his cell, the Control Booth Officer will let him out of the shower. Inmate Hollis did not listen and continued to bang on the shower and press the alarm. Once our immate was secured, I went over to C-Section Shower and attempted to calm Inmate Hollis down while trying to get the Control Booth Officers attention. I told inmate Hollis to stop pressing the alarm button with negative results. I placed my hand over the alarm button next to the shower and Inmate Hollis stated "I can do what I want and if I want to get you, I can" and then aggressively grabbed meon the wrist area, I pulled back quickly and walked away from the shower. Officer Zamora walked up and opened the shower not knowing not knowing what had taken place. Inmate Hollis stated he needed to see the supervisor and I then advised Officer Zamora that inmate Hollis had grabbed my wrist and that he needed to placed in mechanical restraints (handcuffs). Officer Zamora then placed handcuffs on inmate Hollis and I followed the escort to Facility "C" Medical

REPORTING EMPLOYE	E (Typed Mana and Signature)		DATE ,	ASSIGNMENT	- 10	RDO	rs	
L. Goods	ett, correctional Office	er	03/27/06	C8 Ad-Seg E	ktra		'Sa.	
REVIEWING SUPERVIS	* 1	03/24/06	☐ INMATE SEGREGATE	D PENDING HEARING				
CLASSIFIED ADMINISTRATIVE SERIOUS	OFFENSE DIVISION: DATE 3/84 06	CLASSIFIED BY Tryped I	1 Vogi			REFERRED SHO	TO □ SC	☐ FC
PT 000 115	Law to the state of the state o	COPIES GIVEN INMA	TE BEFORE HEARIN	G				
CDC 115	BY: (STAFF'S SIGNATURE)	8/29/06	01-01-4	rder )I.E				
INCIDENT REPORT	BY: (STAFF'S S GNATURE)	DATE	TIME BY: (STAFF'S	SIGNATURE)		DATE	E TIA	ME
SUP TGZ	- M	03/29/06	200 1			03/	100	2100
05-04-	-0227 V					3) 11/0	7/05	1915

Plea: Inmate HOLLIS entered a plea of NOT CUILTY at this RVR Hearing.

Findings: Innate HOLLIS was found GUILIY of CCR §3005(c), specifically "Battery On A Peace Officer", a Division "B(1)" offense. This finding is based on the preponderance of evidence presented at the hearing which does substantiate the charge. The evidence presented at the hearing included: (Findings Con't See CDCR-115C)

<u>Disposition:</u> Innate HOLLIS was assessed **0** days forfeiture of credits due to loss of time constraints. Hearing was not held within 30 days of the date the innate was provided a copy of the CDCR-115.

Additional Disposition: Innate HOLLIS was counseled, warned and reprimanded.

Additional Disposition: Mitigation: Recommended by Clinician Yes [] No [X] SHO Agrees Yes [X] No []

Classification Referral: Refer to UCC for Program Review.

REFERRED TO CLASSIFICATION BPT/NAEA		
ACTION BY: (TYPED NAME)	SIGNATURE	DATE TIME
C. Barroga, Correctional Lieutena	nt ang	= 11/17/0 1310
REVIEWED BY: (SIGNATURE)	DATE / CHIEF DISCIPLINARY OFFICER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
G. Ponder, Facility Captain	11/27 010 M. P. Moore III, 000	11-28-ch
	BY: (STAFF'S SIGNATURE)	DATE TIME
COPY OF CDC 115 GIVEN INMATE AFTER HEARING	> m/	12/1/06 1530
CDC 115 (7/88)		

Case 3:07-cv-02980-TEH Document 9-7 Filed 02/25/2008 Page 3 of 11

STATE OF CALIFOR	NIΔ	

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

RULES VIOLATION REPORT - PART C				PAGEOF	
CDC NUMBER E-37508	INMATE'S NAME Hollis		JMBER 5-03-0012 R	INSTITUTION SVSP	TODAY'S DATE 03-17-06
SUPPLEMENTAL	CONTINUATION OF:	115 CIRCUMSTANCES	HEARING	☐ IE REPORT	OTHER

linic at a safe distance to maintain security. After securing Immate Hollis in Holding Cell #1, I notified my supervisor lergeant M. Nilsson. Immate Hollis [is] [is not] a participant in the Mental Health Services Delivery System and is aware of this report.

	L. Coodlett, Correction	al Officer	
	SIGNATURE OF WHITER		DATE SIGNED
51	GIVEN BY: (Staff's Signature)	DATE SIGNED	TIME SIGNED
COPY OF CDC 115-C GIVEN TO INMATE	1 ml	03/29/06	2100

RULES VIOLATION REPORT - PART C

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS PAGE 1 OF /

TO LES THOU	THE OIL TAIL					1 YOU
CDC NUMBER E-37508	INMATE'S NAME HOLLIS		LOG NUMBER 006-03-0012R		INSTITUTION SVSP	TODAY'S DATE 11/17/06
SUPPLEMENTAL	CONTINUATION OF:	115 CIRCUMST	ANCES	HEARING	☐ IE REPORT	OTHER
Hearing Date: 11/1	7/06.	Time: 1310	hours.	1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Any Postp	onement Explained: N/A.

Inmate Plea and Statement: Inmate HOLLIS entered a plea of NOT GUILTY and stated: "I request not guilty in the interest of justice. The RVR incident indicate it happened at 1520 hours which is contrary to Officer Zamora written report. In addition, the RVR has two different Log Number and date."

Inmate's Health: Inmate HOLLIS claims to be in good health and prepared to proceed with the disciplinary process.

MHSDS: Immate HOLLIS is a participant in the Mental Health Services Delivery System at the COOMS level of care. The circumstances of the RVR do not indicate that Inmate HOLLIS exhibit any bizarre behavior that would raise concerns about his mental health. However, after Inmate HOLLIS was escorted to and placed into a holding cell after this incident, Inmate HOLLIS attempted to commit suicide. The act of attempting to commit suicide raises concerns about his mental health. Therefore, per Coleman vs. State of California agreement. An evaluation of the Mental Health Assessment was completed by S. H. Smith, Ph.D. on 04/11/06. At the hearing, Inmate HOLLIS did not demonstrate any strange, bizarre, or irrational behavior.

A Mental Health Assessment was completed by S. H. Smith, Ph.D. on 04/11/06 and revealed the following information:

- Q1) Are there any Mental Health factors that would cause the Inmate to experience difficulty in understanding the disciplinary process and representing his interest in the hearing that would indicate the need for the assignment of a Staff Assistant?
- A1) No.
- Q2) In your opinion, did the Inmate's Mental disorder appear to contribute to the behavior that led to the RVR? A2) No.
- Q3) If the Inmate was found guilty of the offense, are there any Mental factors that the hearing Officer should consider in assessing the penalty.

A3) No.

DUE PROCESS: Date of Discovery: 04/18/05.

Reissue/Rehear MOD Order date: 03/17/06.

ODOR-115-MH served on: 11/07/06. Incident Package served on: 03/29/06. Hearing started on: 11/17/06.

Initial RVR copy served on: 03/29/06.

Investigative Employee served on: 11/07/06.

D.A. Rejected date: 08/09/05.

D.A. Referral: This matter was referred to the Monterey County District Attorney's Office for possible Felony Prosecution.

D.A. Postponement: On 04/26/05, Inmate HOLLIS requested the hearing be postponed, pending the outcome of the D.A. referral, as indicated by his signature on the initial CDCR-115A. On 08/09/05, this case was returned to ISU indicating the case has been Rejected by the D.A. Pursuant to CCR §3316(D)(2), a decision to not prosecute or a court's dismissal of criminal charges without acquittal shall not prohibit or alter a departmental disciplinary hearing on the rule violation charges.

	C. Barroga, Correctional Lieu	ıtenant
(HEARING CON'T SEE CDCR-115C)	SIGNATURE OF WRITER	DATE SIGNED
COPY OF CDC 115-C GIVEN TO INMATE	GIVEN BY: (Staff's Signature) DATE S	11.
	M. 12	11/06 1530

Document 9-7

Filed 02/25/2008

Page 5 of 11

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

RULES VIOLATION REPORT - PART C PAGE 2 OF 4

CDC NUMBER INMATE'S NAME			LOG NUMBER	INSTITUTION	TODAY'S DATE
E-37508	HOLLIS		006-03-0012R	SVSP	11/17/06
SUPPLEMENTAL	CONTINUATION OF:	115 CIRCUMSTA	ANCES XX HEAR	ING   IE REPORT	OTHER

Time Constraints: Were not met, however, hearing was conducted with no forfeiture of credits being assessed per 3320(a)(1). Hearing was not held within 30 days of the date the Reissue/Rehear MOD Order dated 03/17/06. Inmate HOLLIS acknowledged receiving all documents used for this hearing 24 hours prior to the hearing.

TABLE Score: Inmate HOLLIS TABLE Reading Score was Above 4.0 as noted in the Central File. Inmate HOLLIS read the RVR aloud and was able to demonstrate his understanding of the RVR and the disciplinary process through discussion with the SHO.

Staff Assistant (SA): Staff assistant was not assigned per CCR §3315 (d)(2)(A).

Investigative Employee (IE): Inmate HOLLIS requested and does meet the criteria for assignment of an Investigative Employee. Correctional Officer C. A. Salopek was assigned. Officer C. A. Salopek interviewed Inmate HOLLIS as part of the investigative process, gathered information from witnesses, asking each witness specific questions posed by Inmate HOLLIS and follow up questions posed by I.E. for clarification purposes. Officer C. A. Salopek prepared his report, to include his summation of the facts, and provided a copy of the report to Immate HOLLIS more than 24 hours prior to this hearing.

Evidence Requested or Used: There was no evidence requested or used.

External/Outside Evidence: N/A.

Video Tape Evidence: N/A.

Confidential Information: N/A.

Witness Requested: Inmate HOLLIS requested the following witnesses to be present at the hearing:

- 1) Reporting Employee (R.E.) Correctional Officer L. Coodlett; SHO Granted via Speaker Phone.
- 2) Correctional Officer L. Zamora; SHO Granted.
- 3) Investigative Employee Correctional Officer C. A. Salopek; SHO Denied this witness due to this SHO determined that this requested witness would not provide any further information that would be relevant to the hearing.
- 4) Facility C-8 Control Booth Officer; SHD Denied due to Control Booth Officer did not witness the incident.

Witness Testimony at Hearing: The following Granted witnesses testified at the hearing:

- 1) Inmate HOLLIS asked R.E. Officer L. Goodlett the following questions via Speaker Phone:
- Q1) What wrist did I allegedly grab?
- A1) I think my right wrist.
- Q2) Inmate HOLLIS was pressing the button with negative result. How did you placed your hand over the alarm button?
- A2) When you took your hand away.
- Q3) How did I allegedly grabbed your wrist?
- A3) You had your hand through the shower door and grabbed my wrist.
- Q4) Where was Officer Zamora at the time of battery?
- A4) He was not next to me, I think he was at cell door.
- 2) SHD asked R.E. Officer L. Goodlett the following question(s) via Speaker Phone:
- Q1) At about what time did the incident occurred?
- A1) Don't recall the time whether it happen on Second Watch or Third Watch.

	C. Barroga, Correcti	onal Lieutenan	ıt
(HEARING CON'T SEE CDCR-115C)	SIGNATURE OF WRITER		DATE SIGNED
CORV OF ORD 445 O ON FRATO MARKET	GIVEN BY: (Staff's Signature)	DATE SIGNED	TIME SIGNED
COPY OF CDC 115-C GIVEN TO INMATE	M.L	12/1/06	1530

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Page 6 of 11

STATE OF CALIFOR	No.		V 4	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
RULES VIOLAT	ION REPORT - PART C		100	PAGE_30F_4_
CDC NUMBER	INMATE'S NAME	LOG NUMBER	INSTITUTION	TODAY'S DATE

CDC NUMBER E-37508	INMATE'S NAME HOLLIS		LOG NUMBER 006-03-0012R	INSTITUTION SVSP		TODAY'S DATE 11/17/06
SUPPLEMENTAL	CONTINUATION OF:	115 CIRCUMS	TANCES XX HEARING	☐ IE REPORT	OTHER	2

3) Inmate HOLLIS asked Officer L. Zamora the following questions:

Q1) What direction were you facing when you were at the podium and 'C' section phone?

A1) I was facing towards the Control Booth and talking to Control Booth Officer.

Q2) Did you release me from the shower after or before Officer Goodlett inform you that I allegedly grabbed his wrist

A2) I release you from the shower before Officer Goodlet informed me of the incident.

Q3) At what time did this allegedly incident occurred and whether it happened during Second Watch or Third Watch?

A3) At about 1115 hours during Second Watch.

- 4) SHO asked Officer L. Zamora the following questions:
- Q1) Who was your partner in C-8 'C' section at the time of incident?

A1) It was Correctional Officer L. Goodlett.

Q2) Were you by (next to) Officer Goodlett side at the time of the incident? If not, where were you?

A2) No, I was between the podium and the 'C' section telephone.

- Q3) When / Where did Officer Goodlett informed you that HOLLIS grabbed his wrist?
- A3) Officer Goodlett informed me during the escort at nearby the C2 observation gun.

Framy Concerns: There was no enemy concerns related with this disciplinary hearing.

Findings: Inmate HOLLIS is found QUILTY as charged. This finding is based upon the following preponderance of evidence:

1) RVR Log #006-03-0012R, authored by Reporting Employee (R.E.) Correctional Officer L. Goodlett, which states in part: a) R.E. Correctional Officer L. Goodlett was a witness to Inmate HOLLIS continuously banging on the shower door in

'C' Pod shower and pressing on the alarm button.

- b) R.E. Officer L. Goodlett told Inmate HOLLIS to calm down and orders him stop banging on the shower door and pressing the alarm button with negative results.
- c) Officer L. Goodlett again trying to calm Inmate HOLLIS down and told him to stop pressing the alarm button with negative results. Officer Goodlett then placed his hand over the alarm button in an attempt to stop Inmate HOLLIS from pressing the alarm button.
- d) R.E. Officer L. Goodlett was an eye witness when Inmate HOLLIS made the statement "I can do what I want and if I want to get you, I can." By making the statement, Inmate HOLLIS showed his intent to harm staff.
- e) After Inmate HOLLIS made the statement, he aggressively grabbed Officer Goodlett on the wrist area.
- 2) Incident Report Log #SVP-FC8-05-04-0227, authored by Lieutenant J. Celaya which states in part: 'On 04/18/05, at approximately 1115 hours, Inmate HOLLIS (E-37508) reached through the shower bars and grabbed Officer L. Goodlett by the wrist. Officer L. Goodlett pulled his hand quickly away and stepped from the shower. Officer L. Zamora removed Inmate HOLLIS from the shower and placed him in handcuffs. Officer Zamora escorted Inmate HOLLIS to the Medical Health Annex and secured him in holding cell #1.
- 3) The finding of Guilt was based on a compelling preponderance of evidence as provided.

	C. Barroga, Correction	nal Lieutenar	nt
(HEARING CON'T SEE CDCR-115C)	SIGNATURE OF WRITER		DATE SIGNED
COPY OF CDC 115-C GIVEN TO INMATE	GIVEN BY: (Staff's Signature)	DATE SIGNED 12/1/06	TIME SIGNED

Case 3:07-cv-02980-TEH

Document 9-7

Filed 02/25/2008

Page 7 of 11

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RIII ES VIOLATION DEDORT DADTO

2000....

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

HOLLE VIOLATIO	NALPORT - PART C			PAGE 4 OF 4
CDC NUMBER E-37508	INMATE'S NAME HOLLIS	LOG NUMBER 006-03-0012R	INSTITUTION SVSP	TODAY'S DATE 11/17/06
SUPPLEMENTAL	XX CONTINUATION OF: 115C	IRCUMSTANCES THEARING	IE REPORT	OTHER

CONCLUSION: After further review of Correctional Officers L. Goodlett and L. Zamora Crime/Incident Report CDCR-837 Part 'C'. This SHD noticed there was a disparity between the Officers statements regarding the timing of when Officer L. Goodlett informed Officer L. Zamora of when Inmate HOLLIS grabbed Officer Goodlett wrist. This SHD further noticed the Inmate's claim that the time of the incident on the RVR was at 1520 hours. After review of the Incident Report, it was discovered that the incident occurred at 1115 hours. The 1520 hours was a typographical error and had no bearing on the findings of the RVR. Based on the Reporting Employee Report of Officer L. Goodlett, this SHD finds the preponderance of the evidence has been met to render and sustain a finding of Guilt on the charged offense of Inmate HOLLIS violated CCR §3005(c); specifically, "Battery On A Peace Officer", a Division "B(1)" offense per CCR §3323 (d)(1).

Appeal Rights: Inmate HOLLIS was advised of his rights to appeal per CCR section §3084.1 (a). Inmate HOLLIS was informed he would receive a copy of the completed RVR upon final review of the Chief Disciplinary Officer.

	C. Barroga, Correcti	onal Lieutenan	ıt
	SIGNATURE OF WRITER		DATE SIGNED
	GIVENBY: (\$taff's Signature)	DATE SIGNED	TIME SIGNED
COPY OF CDC 115-C GIVEN TO INMATE	m. L	12/1/06	1538

ULES VIOLATION REPORT - PART	С	7.4	PAGE_1_OF_3_
TATE OF CALIFORNIA	and the second		 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

CDC NUMBER E-37508	INMATE'S NAME HOLLIS	LOG NUMBER C06-03-0012R	S.V.S.P.		ODAY'S DATE
SUPPLEMENTAL	CONTINUATION OF: 115 CIRCUMS	TANCES HEARING	X IE REPORT	OTHER	

On O8-18-05, I was assigned as Investigative Employee for the ODC-115, Log# CO5-04-0049. I informed Inmate HOLLIS of my assignment, and that as Investigative Employee my duties were as a fact finder for the Senior Hearing Officer. Inmate HOLLIS stated that he had no objections to my serving in this capacity. My report is as follows:

Defendant's Statement: On 08-18-06, I conducted an interview with Inmate HOLLIS (E-37508), regarding the charged offense. Inmate HOLLIS offered the following statement: "I request to be found not guilty in the interest of justice and that the charge be dismissed. Correctional Officer L. Goodlett made false allegations that I committed battery on a Peace Officer. Officer Goodlett's report is vague, confusing and contradictory to Officer Zamora's written report and not "verified" by other staff present during the alleged battery on a Peace Officer such as the control booth officer as well as Officer Zamora. All the reports generated by staff related to the alleged battery are inconsistent and contradictory in nature. Officer Goodlett made false allegations to cover up my claims of the use of unnecessary force to Nurse P. Cross, when he aggressively grabbed my wrist for refusing to stop pressing the alarm button as stated in his report."

Reporting Employees Statement: On 07-13-06, I interviewed the Reporting Employee, Officer L. Goodlett regarding the charges and his recollection of the events of that day. Officer Goodlett offered the following statement; 'On the day in question, Immate HOLLIS reached through the shower bars and grabbed me by my wrist. I pulled my hand quickly away and stepped back from the shower."

### Inmate HOLLIS had the following questions for Officer Goodlett:

- Q1) On April 18, 2005 did you generate a true and correct complete report of the alleged battery on staff?
- Al) Yes, I did complete a correct report.
- Q2) Why is your report vague, confusing and contradictory to Officer Zamora written report and not verified by other staff present during the alleged incident?
- A2) My report is not vague and is specific as to what happened during the incident.
- Q3) Did you and Officer Zamora instruct the control booth officer at 1115 hours to open the "C" section shower for Inmate HOLLIS to go back to his cell?
- A3) I did not instruct Officer Zamora of the incident till after the shower door was opened.
- (4) How was it possible for you to place your hand over the alarm button when you clearly stated that you told Immate HOLLIS to stop pressing the alarm button with negative results?
- A4) Because you moved your hand.
- Q5) If Immate HOLLIS refused to stop pressing the alarm button, as indicated in your report, then how did Inmate HOLLIS aggressively grab your wrist area, if Inmate HOLLIS was still pressing the alarm button.?
- A5) Because you reached through the bars of the shower and grabbed me.
- (6) What hand did Immate HOLLIS use to allegedly grab your wrist area?
- A6) This happened a year ago, I do not remember, refer to original report.
- Q7) What wrist area was allegedly grabbed and how? Please explain.
- A7) You grabbed my wrist tightly and I had to break your hold.
- (8) Did it appear to you that Inmate HOLLIS was having a emotional disturbance?
- A8) No, I remember he looked happy.
- 09) Did you sign and date RVR #006-03-0012R. As the reporting employee as required per CDC 115 (7/88)?
- A9) This does not pertain.
- Q10) Were you on duty as approximately 1520 hours in C8 as Ad/Seg Officer?
- AlO) This does not pertain. (Con't CDC-115-C)

7 a	SIGNATURE OF WRITER		DATE SIGNED
COPY OF CDC 115-C GIVEN TO INMATE	GIVEN BY: (Staff's signature)	DATE SIGNED	TIME SIGNED

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

# **RULES VIOLATION REPORT - PART C**

NOLES VIOLATIO	TILLI OILI - PARI C			1 AGL 2 01 3
CDC NUMBER E-37508	INMATE'S NAME HOLLIS	LOG NUMBER C06-03-0012R	INSTITUTION S.V.S.P.	TODAY'S DATE
SUPPLEMENTAL	CONTINUATION OF: 115 CIR	CUMSTANCES HEARING	S X IE REPORT	OTHER

Oll) Once your Ad/Seg inmate was secured as indicated in your report. Why was it necessary to approach the shower area, and why was didn't you instruct the control booth officer to release me from the shower, if your Ad/Seg inmate was secured as mentioned in your reports?

A11) N/A

Q12) Where was Officer Zamora presence in the building once Ad/Seg inmate was secured and you approached the shower area?

A12) N/A

Q13) How did you allegedly attempt to calm Immate HOLLIS down?

A13) N/A, I gave a direct calm order for Inmate HOLLIS to stop his actions.

Q14) Did you observe Inmate HOLLIS make allegations against you to RN. P. Gross in the Facility "C" Medical Clinic for use of unnecessary and excessive force prior to you informing any supervisor that Inmate HOLLIS allegedly aggressively grabbed your wrist?

A14) No.

Staff Witness Statement: On 08-25-05, I interviewed staff witness, Correctional Officer L. Zamora, regarding the charges and his recollection of the events of that day. Officer Zamora offered the following statement, "During shower program on the date in question, Inmate HOLLIS refused to comply with my instructions to return to his cell. I placed HOLLIS in handcuffs and escorted him to the Facility "C" Medical office for a CDC 7219 and then to holding cell #1 in the health Services Annex."

Inmate HOLLIS had the following questions for Officer Zamora:

Q1) At 1115 hours on April 18, 2005 did you generate a true and correct report to the alleged Battery on Staff, and complete report of the alleged incident?

Al) Yes.

Q2) After you and Officer Goodlett instructed the control booth officer to open "C" section shower door to allow me to go back to my cell at 1115 hours on April 18, 2005 did you observe at any time during both you and Officer Goodlett's presence at the shower or were the both of you instructed the control booth officer to let me out of the shower, Inmate HOLLIS grab Officer Goodlett's wrist."

42) No

- Q3) At 1115 hours on April 18, 2005 where was your presence in "C" section from the time I was released from the shower after you and Officer Goodlett instructed the control booth officer to open the "C" section shower door where I was located?
- A3) I was between the "C" section phone and the podium.
- Q4) At 1115 hours were you present along side Officer Goodlett of wit Officer Goodlett from the time your escort inmate was secured til the time I was placed in handcuffs?
- A4) I placed Inmate HOLLIS in handcuffs and escorted him to the Facility "C" Medical officer for a CDC 7219 and then placed him in the holding cell along with Officer Goodlett.
- (5) At what time did Officer Goodlett allegedly inform you that I grabbed his wrist? and was that before the both of you instructed the control booth officer to release me from the shower?
- A5) Inmate HOLLIS was done with his shower and I instructed the control booth officer to open the shower door for HOLLIS to return to his assigned cell, and later found out about the incident.
- Q6) Did you order Inmate WOLLIS to submit to handcuffs because he refused to lock it up and requested to speak to a supervisor or because Officer Goodlett allegedly informed you that Inmate WOLLIS had just grabbed his wrist?

A6) I ordered Inmate HOLLIS to submit to handcuffs because he was refusing to lock up and was delaying the unit's program. (Con't See CDC-115-C)

C.A. Salor	ek, Correctional	Officer	
SIGNATURE	RITER	250000	DATE SIGNED
GIVEN BY: (Staff's S	Signature)	DATE SIGNED	TIME SIGNED
m M		11/07/06	P15
	SIGNATURE		GIVEN BY: (Staff's Signature)  DATE SIGNED

Document 9-7

Filed 02/25/2008

Page 10 of 11

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

PAGE 3 OF 3

	MOL	ATION	REPORT	DADT	^
KULES	VICILA	HILIN	KEPUKI	- PARI	

CDC NUMBER E-37508	INMATE'S NAME HOLLIS	LOG NUMBER C06-03-0012R	S.V.S.P.	TODAY'S DATE
SUPPLEMENTAL	X CONTINUATION OF: 115 CIRCUM	STANCES HEARING	X IE REPORT	OTHER

- Q7) Did Inmate HOLLIS receive his 1000 hour phone call on April 18, 2005 which he signed up for?
- A7) No.
- (8) Was the control booth officer present at the "C" Section Control panel at 1115 hours? And did you or the control booth officer open the shower door?
- A8) The control booth officer opened the door after I instructed him to do so.
- Q9) After you and Officer Goodlett instructed the control booth officer to open "C" section shower for me to go back to my cell, was I released from the shower and started asking you about my phone call and refusing to lock up? And if so, how was it not possible to observe any of the allegations made by Officer Goodlett in his report dated April 18, 2005 at 1115 hours.
- A9) Yes.

Investigative Employee's Summation: Inmate HOLLIS requested information regarding the control booth officer on the above mentioned date. A review of the log indicates Correctional Officer Tsai was assigned to work at that post during the time of the incident. It should be noted that Officer Tsai did not submit a report and appears to have no involvement in this incident.

This concludes my report.

	C.A. \$alopek, Correct	ctional Officer	
	SIGNATURE OF WRITER		DATE SIGNED
COPY OF CDC 115-C GIVEN TO INMATE	GIVENBY: Staff's Signature)	DATE SIGNED	TIME SIGNED

E-37508 REFERRAL FOR FE	INMATE'S NAME Hollis		VIOLATED RULE N	10(S).	03-17-06	INSTITUTION	LOG NO	
EFERRAL FOR FE				w.	U3-17-U0	SVSP	CO6-	03-0012
	LONY PROSECUTION		Con.	4	NO	<u> </u>		
T I DO NOT BE	QUEST my hearing be			DISCIPLINARY HE	ARING			
outcome of ref	erral for prosecution.		<b>D</b>	14			DATE	•
or referral for p			e INMATE	'S SIGNATURE	K		DATE	
ATE NOTICE OF OUT	COME RECEIVED	DISPOSITION				****		
I REVOKE my r	equest for postponeme	int.	INMATE'	'S SIGNATURE			DATE	
AFF ASSISTANT				ASSISTANT				
REQUESTED	WAIVED BY IN	MATE	INMATE:	S SIGNATURE			DATE	
ASSIGNED	DATE	NAME OF STA	AFF			0		
NOT ASSIGNED	REASON TU	He 15, (	CR 3315	·				
		11 10		IVE EMPLOYEE				
VESTIGATIVE EMPI				S SIGNATURE	•		DATE	
REQUESTED	DATE WAIVED BY INK	NAME OF STA	*	MUM	on 960	ello	637	77-00
ASSIGNED			AF F					
LNOT ASSIGNED	REASON PUT	the 15	, car ss	45 Da	Imc	P50 7	7/5 10	<u> </u>
DENCE/INFORMATIO	N REQUESTED BY INMA	ΓE:	1		1.110	100	2 22 %	
						CG	2 33F	>
TNESSES REQUES	TED AT HEARING (IF	NOT PRESENT, EX	(PLAIN IN FINDINGS	IESSES				
REPORTING EMP	LOYEE STAFF	ASSISTANT		E EMPLOYEE	OTHER		[7	NONE
TNESSES (GIVE NAI	ME AND TITLE OF CDC	NUMBER) GF	NOT GRANTED	WITNESSES (GI	VE NAME AND T	TLE OR CDC NUMB	ER)	NOT
CONTROL	Booth OF	FICER					U	
COTION TIME DECO	PRT: Investigative Empl	oyees must inter	view the inmate ct	harded the reporting	a employee en	d any others who h		
LESTIGATIVE HEAD		terviewed Revie	w of files procedu	anged, the reportin				
cumenting the testing	nony of each person in	torviewed. Hevie	w or mea, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	nave significant in	formation,
cumenting the testing	nony of each person in	ici vieweu. Nevie	w or mes, procedu	res, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	formation,
cumenting the testing	nony of each person in	civiewed. Nevie	w or mes, procedu	res, and other docu	iments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	tormation,
estigative HEPC	nony of each person in	tervieweu. Hevie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	tormation,
estigative HEPC	nony of each person in	iorvieweu. Nevie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
estigative HEPC	nony of each person in	iorvieweu. Revie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	tormation,
ESTIGATIVE HEPC	nony of each person in	iorvieweu. Nevie	w or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	formation,
estigative HEPC	nony of each person in	iorvieweu. Nevie	w or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
umenting the testir	nony of each person in	iorvieweu. Nevie	w or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
umenting the testir	nony of each person in	io vieweu. Nevie	w or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
ESTIGATIVE HEPC	nony of each person in	iorvieweu. Revie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
estigative HEPC	nony of each person in	io vieweu. Revie	w or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
estigative HEPC	nony of each person in	io vieweu. Revie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
cumenting the testir	nony of each person in	io vieweu. Revie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	iormation,
ESTIGATIVE REPO	nony of each person in	io vieweu. Revie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other docu	ments may also	be necessary.	ave significant in	rormation,
cumenting the testir	nony of each person in	io vieweu. Revie	or mes, procedu	ires, and other doct	ments may also	be necessary.		iormation,
estigative HEPC	nony of each person in	io vieweu. Revie	, or mes, procedu	INVESTIGATOR	ments may also	be necessary.	DATE	iormation,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA